UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

Darrell Wood,	Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	
v.	
Medicredit, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT
Defendant.	

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Darrell Wood, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (the "FDCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Darrell Wood ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Nashville, Tennessee, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 4. Defendant Medicredit, Inc. ("Medicredit"), is a Missouri business entity with an address of 3 Cityplace Drive, Suite 6900, Saint Louis, Missouri 63141, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 5. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Medicredit and whose identities are currently unknown to Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

6. Medicredit at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 7. A financial obligation (the "Debt") was allegedly incurred to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 8. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes, which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 9. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Medicredit for collection, or Medicredit was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 10. Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Medicredit Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 11. On or about January 18, 2017, Medicredit spoke with a third party, Erik Rojas ("Rojas"), and informed him that Plaintiff owed the Debt.
 - 12. This disclosure caused Plaintiff a great deal of stress and embarrassment.
- 13. Thereafter, Plaintiff called Medicredit. At that time, Medicredit denied calling Rojas and demanded that Plaintiff pay the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 14. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 15. As a direct consequence of Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.</u>

- 16. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 17. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(1) in that Defendants contacted Rojas for a purpose other than to confirm or correct location information.
- 18. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b)in that Defendants disclosed to Rojas that they were calling about the Debt.
- 19. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff in connection with collection of the Debt.
- 20. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e in that Defendants used false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with collection of the Debt.
- 21. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect the Debt.
- 22. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA.
 - 23. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3);

- 4. Punitive damages; and
- 5. Such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: May 19, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: __/s/ Sergei Lemberg_____

Sergei Lemberg, Esq. LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C. 43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor Wilton, CT 06897

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424 Attorneys for Plaintiff